Microfinance Loan and Women's Living Standard: The Mediatory Role of Socioeconomic Factors.

Garima

UGC NET, M.A. (Economics), Department of Economics, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Email: <u>Garima.dhull25@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

This study dwells on the mediatory effect of social and economic factors of empowerment on the relationship between the utilization of microfinance loans and women's perception of an improved standard of living. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data from 315 women beneficiaries of microfinance loans in Northern Nigeria. Theparticipants were chosen through a multistage random sampling technique. Parallel mediation analysis was conducted to estimate the indirect effect of microfinance loan utilization on the perception of an improved standard of living through socio-economic factors of wellbeing and income. The result indicates that the way women utilize microfinance loans indirectly affects their perception of enhanced living standard through the income resulting from the loan utilization, however, the indirect effect of loan utilization on women's perception of an improved standard of living through well-being was not significant. Therefore, it has been established in the study that income is a strong mediator in the relationship between loan utilization and perception of enhanced living standards among women loan beneficiaries.

Keywords: Microfinance Loan, Income, Well-being, Women Empowerment, Living Standard

Introduction

Microfinance is the delivery of a range of financial services especially credit, to less privileged individuals and groups who are financially excluded to support them participate in productive ventures or expand existing businesses to raise their income and living standard (Josily, 2006; Hamnett& Hassan, 2003, Ledgerwood, 1999). It is equally known as microcredit which is small loans given to finance self-employment ventures for income generation to help improve living standards and lift women out of poverty (Gundersen, 2009). Microfinance is universally recognized and used as a strategy used for the upliftment of the socio-economic position of the less privileged people in society, especially women, equally, it is an important factor for socio-economic development in the twenty-first century ((Mayoux, 1999; & Shetty, 2008).

Women are faced with a lot of discrimination in having access to work, economic assets, and labor markets, out of the more than 40% of people living in poverty in sub-Saharan Africa, which represent two-thirds of the global poverty population, most are women (Schoch &Lakner 2020; UN, 2015; UNDP, 2019). In Nigeria, poverty and inequality are very high among women, this is owing to the high level of unemployment, low per capita income, limited access to productive resources, limited access to productive resources and the less access to credit facilities when compared to their male counterparts, particularly in the north of the country (Ezumah, 1988; Kelleher, 2014). Likewise, most Nigerian women face legal, cultural, economic, and educational barriers thathamper their active participation in economic activities (Dauda, 2015).

Development programs such as the microfinance interventions had shown an encouraging impact on poverty reduction and women empowerment (Duflo, 2011; Vyas & Watts, 2009). Women have gained better socio-economic position because of increased access to social and income-generating activities driven by microfinance (Siwar et al., 2011). Many studies (Cheston & Kuhn, 2002; Mayoux, 2005; Khan & Rahaman, 2007; Lemut, 2017; Pitt, Khandker& Cartwright, 2006; Roxin et al., 2011) have revealed the evidence of the impact of microfinance loans on women empowerment, poverty reduction, and living standard upliftment through improved income, increased economic resources, better healthcare, proper feeding of the family and changes in family wellbeing.

Microfinance is not a new development, particularly in countries of Latin America and Asia,thefamousstart was in the year 1976 in Bangladesh, when Muhammad Yunusbegan the Grameen Bank(Khan and Rahman, 2007; Murdoch, 1999).In the Nigerian context, modern microfinance came into being with the introduction of the microfinance policy in the year 2005, which created the platform for the establishment of various microfinance institutions, ever since, many microfinance banks/institutions were established with the aim of women empowerment, and poverty alleviation (CBN, 2005). Before the introduction of the microfinance policy, programs such as Better Life for the Rural Women, community banks, and Peoples' Bank of Nigeria were in existence. However, these programs could not meet up to expectations as they all failed in their responsibilities (Awojobi, 2013).

Women gain a better socio-economic condition as a result of increased access to social and income-generating activities because of microfinance (Siwar et al., 2011). And considering the multi-dimensionality of empowerment, the social and economic impacts of microfinance were seen by a lot of researchers as the most importantfactors to measure the upliftment of women (BaliSwain &Wallentin, 2009; Pitt, Khandker, & Cartwright, 2006). Overtly, economic empowerment is seen as an entry point to all forms of empowerment (Kabeer, 2005). Also, SIDA (2009) buttress that the empowerment of women economically is a prerequisite that can sustain their economic advancement and social wellbeing.

Many studies have been conducted to measure the impact of microfinance loans on women across different countries, especially in Asian and Latin American countries, most of these studies focused on the direct impact of microfinance on the women beneficiaries using statistical analysis tools such as Analysis of variance (ANOVA), regression, Propensity Score Matching, etc., hence, this study employs mediation analysis to assess whether the selected factors of socio-economic empowerment (well-being and income) mediate the relationship between the utilization of microfinance loan and women's perception about the improved standard of living. In view of this, responses of the women beneficiaries of the microfinance loan about their perception of the improvement of their living standard are sought.

Literature Review

In recent times, numerous studies have investigated the indirect effect of microfinance on the living standard of women, for instance, Akudugu (2011) established that financial capital from microfinance has a positive impact on women's living standards through increased income levels. Also, a study by Rehman, Moazzam, and Ansari (2015) in Pakistan revealed that microfinance brings about changes in family well-being which will result in a better standard of living. Likewise, a study by Lemut (2017) on the effect of microfinance on women suggests an improvement in the social and economic state of the women as revealed by an increased income, supporting children's education, improved feeding, better sanitation, and hygiene.

Also, Roxin et al. (2011) concluded after they study the economic empowerment of women through Microfinance, that one of the ways in which economic empowerment can take place is enhanced income. Likewise, Matovu (2006) showed that improved income for women is found to be crucial for their continued well-being and can improve their living conditions economically. Equally, studies by Cheston and Kuhn (2002), Kabeer (1998),Mayoux (2000), and Mosley andHulme, (1998),and have established that increased economic resources amongst women lead to positive impact on household well-being.

Similarly, Mayoux (2005) maintained that increased women's economic activities and incomes through microfinance led to a rise in expenditure on household well-being. This finding is also in congruence with the findings of Kireti and Sakwa (2014) that access to microfinance services led to improved income levels and expanded expenditure on education and health services and the creation of employment. Correspondingly, Awojobi (2014) posited that access to microfinance may improve the well-being of the women which results in the education of the children, better healthcare, and proper feeding of the family i.e., improved living standard.

According to Saidu (2014) as a result of the respondents' participation in the microfinance scheme, the income and living standard of the respondents have been significantly improved. Furthermore, Chirkos (2014) in his study of the influence of microfinance on living standards discovered that an increase in incomes of the microfinance loan beneficiaries has improved their standard of living. Also, Kerstetter (2019) who examined the impact of microfinance on women in India, found that as income increases the living standard of their families also tends to increase. In agreement with these findings, Chapagain (2020), in a study in Nepal concluded that the improved income of microfinance beneficiaries is one of the major factors that certainly impact the living standard of clients.

Furthermore, an assessment of the connection between microfinance loans and women empowerment by Ngofa (2014) established the positive impact of microfinance on women as shown by their improved standard of living as a result of enhanced incomegenerating activities. This result is consistent with the findings of Mgbakor et al. (2014) who reported a positive impact of microfinance on women as they became self-reliant and gained enhancedliving standard of living and family well-being because of better income resulting from microfinance. In another study, Ilavbarhe and Izekor (2015) showed that microfinance loan has significantly enhanced women's income which led to an improved standard of living.

Bent (2018) observed that microfinance has boosted self-employment undertakings that create income to improve the living standard of women. Chowdhury (2021) found that microfinance programs help generate more employment opportunities which lead to increased income, thereby significantly improving the living standards of women. Also, Abdulkadir et al. (2012) confirmed that microfinance has a significant effect on the socio-economic empowerment of women as indicated by increased income and improved well-being which led to improved living standards.

In the same vein, Dwivedi and Mishra (2013) in a study to assess the socioeconomic impact of microfinance on women found that the microcredit facility has supported women to become entrepreneurial and that there is a significant improvement in their family income. Similarly, in a study on the effect of microfinance in improving living standards, Kawira (2016) found that a rising income would lead to an increase in the level of living standards because families will have the funds for their basic needs and afford other recreational activities. Also, Amarnani and Amarnani (2015) in their study found that microfinance increases the income of women, however, the study concluded that microfinance does not impact poor women to improve the quality of their lives.Khan and Rahaman(2007) whoassessed the correlation between microfinance and living standards resolved that microfinance has substantialinfluenceon theenhancement of the living standard of the family both in economic and social terms.

Materials and Methods

A structured questionnaire was employed to collect data from 315 women beneficiaries of microfinance loans in Northern Nigeria. The women beneficiaries of microfinance loans were chosen through a multistage random sampling technique from three regions of Northern Nigeria.

The data were subjected to factor analysis where the principal component analysis was applied, the value for Bartlett's test of sphericity obtained was 0.000, and a minimum value for good factor analysis is p < 0.05 (Tabachnick&Fidell 2007). Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy value of 0.768 and 0.688 was obtained for well-being and income respectively, KMOSampling Adequacy values greater than 0.5 is acceptable (Kaiser, 1974). Also, Cronbach alpha was applied to the data collected for the reliability test to ensure consistency of the data, the Cronbach alpha value obtained were 0.769 and 0.708 for well-being and income respectively, a good reliability test is expected to be Cronbach's alpha ≥ 0.7 (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2007).

The bootstrapping method suggested by Hayes (2013) was used to estimate the indirect effect of microfinance loan utilization on the perception of an improved standard of living, through socio-economic factors (well-being and income) with the aid of IBM SPSS Version® 26. Specifically, Parallel mediation analysis was carried out to see whether well-being and income level mediate the effect of the manner in which microfinance loan was utilized on the perception of an improved standard of living among women beneficiaries. Hence, well-being (M1) and Income level (M2) were adopted as proposed mediators in the parallel mediation procedure as shown in figure 1 below.



Path b_1 path a_1

path a₂path b₂

path c'

Figure 1: Conceptual Model

Figure 1:A parallel mediation using the mediating effect of socio-economic factors of empowerment (well-being and income) on the relationship between microfinance loan utilization and women's perception of the improved standard of living.

Mplus code for the model: Predictor variable X (microfinance loan utilization), Mediator variable M1 (well-being) and M2 (income), Outcome variable Y (women's perception of the improved standard of living).

Note: Path c' is the direct effectof Microfinance Loan utilization on the Perception of an improved standard of living. Path a_1 is the effect of Microfinance Loan utilization on wellbeing, path b_1 is the effect of well-being on Perception of an improved standard of living, path a_2 is the effect of Microfinance Loan utilization on income, path b_2 is the effect of income on Perception of an improved standard of living. Complete mediation is a situation whereby variable X(Microfinance Loan utilization) no longer affects variable Y (Perception of an improved standard of living) after M1(Well-being) and M2(Income) have been controlled, making path c' zero.

Results and Discussion

A parallel mediation analysis was carried out to determine whether social and economic factors mediate the connection between microfinance loan utilization and the perception of an enhancedliving standard of women in northern Nigeria. Hence, well-being and income were used as mediators in the relationship between the independent variable (microfinance loan utilization) and the dependent variable (women's perception of an enhancedliving standard). The objective is to determine whether one of these factors represents strong mediators in the relationship.

ISSN: 2249-2496 Impact Factor: 7.081

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gate as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Model						
	Coeff	Se	t	Р	LLCI	ULCI
Path a_1						
Constant	3.4499	0.1169	29.5081	0.0000	3.2199	3.6800
Loan Utilization	0.0409	0.0378	1.0826	0.2798	0334	0.1153

Outcome Variable: Wellbeing

Coeff	Se	t	Р	LLCI	ULCI
3.1743	0.1410	22.5131	0.0000	2.8969	3.4517
0.1343	0.0456	2.9458	0.0035	0.0446	2.2239
	3.1743	3.1743 0.1410	3.1743 0.1410 22.5131	3.1743 0.1410 22.5131 0.0000	3.1743 0.1410 22.5131 0.0000 2.8969

Outcome Variable: Income

Model Path $b_{1,}b_{2,}$						
b ₃	Coeff	Se	t	Р	LLCI	ULCI
Constant	2.6263	0.2781	9.4454	0.0000	2.0793	3.1734
Loan Utilization	0.0846	0.0386	2.1899	0.0293	0.0086	0.1607
Well-being	0.0445	0.05566	0.7862	0.4323	-0.0669	0.1559
Income	0.2039	.0469	4.3444	0.0000	0.1116	0.2963

 Table 4: Total and Direct Effects of Microfinance Loan Utilization on the perception of enhancedliving standard

C = Total Effects of Loan utilization on the perception of an improved standard of living							
Coeff	Se	t	Р	LLCI	ULCI		
0.1138	0.391	2.9147	0.0038	0.0370	0.1907		
C' = Direct Effects Microfinance Loan utilization on the perception of an improved standard of living							
Coeff	Se	t	Р	LLCI	ULCI		
0.0846	0.0386	2.1899	0.0293	0.0086	0.1607		

Table 5: Standardized indirect effect(s) of Microfinance Loan utilization on the perception of enhanced
living standard

	Effects	BootSE	BootLLCI	BootULCI
Total	0.0414	0.0195	0.0094	0.0864
Well-being	0.0026	0.0049	-0.0055	0.0144
Income	0.0389	0.0188	0.0091	0.0819

The parallel mediation analysis indicates that how women utilize microfinance loans indirectly affects their perception of an enhanced living standard, through the income resulting from the loan utilization. As shown in figure 2, loan utilization is significantly related to M2 i.e., income (a2 = 0.1343, p = 0.0035). In turn, income (M2) influences the perception of an improved standard of living (b2 = 0.2039, p = 0.0000).

However, the indirect effect of loan utilization on women's perception of an improved standard of living through well-being was not significant. Specifically, figure 2 revealed that the relationship between loan utilization and well-being is not statistically significant (a1 = 0.0409, p = 0.2798). Well-being, in turn, did not have a significant influence on the perception of an enhancedliving standard among women (b1 = 0.0445, p = 0.4323).

Table 5 shows the Hayes (2013) bootstrapping method of estimating indirect effects. According to the table, the standardized indirect effect of loan utilization on women's perception of an improved standard of living, through M2 i.e., income (a2b2 = 0.0273), holding the other proposed mediator (well-being) constant was completely above zero (0.0091 to 0.0819) with 95% bias-corrected CI. This means that income is a strong mediator in the relationship between microfinance loan utilization and perception of an improved standard of living among women loan beneficiaries. Conversely, the table shows that zero lies between the upper- and lower-class interval in the indirect effect of loan utilization and perception of an improved standard of living through M1 i.e., well-being (-0.0055 to 0.0144). Thus, well-being (M1) was not a strong mediator in the proposed relationship.



Figure 2. The mediation effect of socio-economic factors (well-being and income) in the relationship between microfinance loan utilization and perception of an improved standard of living.

The indirect pathways in figure 2, show that a unit variation in the utilization of microfinance loan influences women's level of income by 13.43%, and holding constant, the manner in which microfinance loan is utilized, a unit increase in income influences the perception of an improved standard of living among women beneficiaries by 20.39%. However, a unit change in loan utilization does not lead to any significant change in well-being. Remarkably, the direct effect of microfinanceloans on the perception of an improved standard of living (c' = 0.0846) is statistically significant, which means that controlling for the two proposed mediators (M1&M2), a unit variation in microfinance loan utilization increases the women's perception of an improved standard of living by 8.46%.

Discussion

The major objective of this study was to examine the mediatory effect of social and economic factors of empowerment (well-being and income) on the relationship between the utilization of microfinance loans and women's perception of an enhancedliving standard. A parallel mediation analysis was conducted to know whether socio-economic factors (well-being and income) mediate the relationship between microfinance loan utilization and perception of an enhancedliving standard among women in northern Nigeria. The result of the analysis indicates that the manner in which women utilize microfinance loans indirectly affects their perception of an improved standard of living, through the income resulting from the loan utilization. The Result of bootstrapping method of estimating indirect effects shows that income is a strong mediator in the relationship between loan utilization and perception of an improved standard of living among women loan beneficiaries, this corroborates the results of a study by Chirkos (2014) who found that an increase in incomes of the microfinance loan beneficiaries has improved their standard of living. Which is also consistent with the result of a study by Chapagain (2020) who concluded that improved income is one of the major factors that indisputably leads to the enhancement of the living standard of loan beneficiaries. Further, this result was confirmed by Gundersen (2009), who revealed that microfinance loans that are granted for women to engage in self-employment ventures for income generation help improve their living standard, in contrast, Amarnani and Amarnani (2015) concluded that microfinance does impact poor women to improve their living standard.

However, the indirect effect of loan utilization on women's perception of an improved standard of living through well-being was not significant, similarly,the result of bootstrapping method of estimating indirect effects shows that well-being was not a strong mediator in the relationship between microfinanceloan utilization and perception of an improved standard of living among women loan beneficiaries. This is contrary to the findings of Awojobi (2014) who found that access to microfinance may improve the well-being of the household which results in the education of the children, better healthcare, and proper feeding of the family i.e., improvement in living standard.

Conclusion

We present a conceptual model containing the mediated outcomes of social and economic factors of empowerment (wellbeing and income) which we assume facilitates women's improved standard of living. It has been established in the study that income is a strong mediator in the relationship between microfinance loan utilization and perception of an improved standard of living among women loan beneficiaries, which is consistent with the results of severalearlier studies. Nonetheless, the indirect effect of microfinance loan utilization on women's perception of an improved standard of living through well-being was not significant. This study established that the improvement of income is very vital to the enhancement of the living standard of women. The result of this study contributes to the existing body of literature on the subject. It is suggested that bearing in mind the instrumentality of microfinance loans in enhancing the living standard of the women, the

microfinance institutions should expand to cover larger areas so as to make their services accessible in order to meet the credit demand of the women. It is equally, necessary for microfinance institutions to create awareness of their activities.

Limitations and Direction for Future Research

This study examined the mediatory effect of social and economic factors of empowerment (well-being and income) on the relationship between the utilization of microfinance loans and women's perception of an improved standard of living. The study is limited to respondents in Northern Nigeria only, Southern Nigeria is not covered in this study. Also, only two socio-economic factors of empowerment were considered for this study among numerous factors. Therefore, in future studies, the Southern part of Nigeria should be covered, and it is essential to considerother factors of socio-economic empowerment.

References

Abdulkadir, F. I., Umar, S., Garba, I. B. and Ibrahim, S. H. (2012). The impact of micro-finance banks on women's entrepreneurial development in the metropolis. *Microeconomics and Macroeconomics*, 1(3), 28-38. DOI: 10.5923/j.m2economics.20120103.01.

<u>Akudugu, M.A.</u> (2011). Rural banks' financial capital and livelihoods development of women farmers in Ghana. <u>Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global</u> <u>Economy</u>, 5(4), 248-264. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/17506201111177307</u>

Amarnani, A. and Amarnani, N. (2015). Impact of Microfinance on Poor Women: Lessons from Sabarkantha, Gujarat. IIM Kozhikode Society & Management Review <u>4(2)</u>, 92-105 <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2277975215610008</u>

Awojobi, N.O. (2013). Microfinance as a strategy for poverty reduction: The Nigerian experience. (Master Thesis) Berlin School of Economics and Law, Berlin, Germany.

Awojobi, O. N. (2014). Empowering women through microfinance: Evidence from Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research* 4(1), 17-26.

Bali Swain, R., and Wallentin, F. Y. (2009). Does microfinance empower women? Evidence from self- help groups in India. *International Review of Applied Economics*, 23(5), 541–556.

Bent, B. B. (2018). The Impact of Microfinance on Poverty Reduction and Women Empowerment. RAIS collective volume - economic science 03, Research Association for Interdisciplinary Studies.

CBN (2005). Microfinance framework for Nigeria, ABUJA, CBN

Chapagain, R. K., & Dhungana, B. R. (2020). Does microfinance affect the living standard of thehousehold? Evidence from Nepal. Finance India, 34(2): 693-704, Indian Institute of Finance.

Cheston, S. and Kuhn, L. (2002). *Empowering women through microfinance*; U.S; UNIFEM. Retrieved from *www.academia.edu/1185408*.

Chirkos, A. Y. (2014). The Impact of Microfinance on Living Standards, Empowerment and Poverty Alleviation of the Poor People in Ethiopia, A Case Study in ACSI. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting Vol.5, No.13, 43-66.

Chowdhury, M. A., Syed Mohammad Khaled Rahman, S. M. K., and Md. Abdul Gaffar Salman, M. A. G. (2021). Economic and Social Impact of Microfinance: An Empirical Evidence from Bangladesh. Global Journal of Management and Business Research: 21(1), 63-73.

Dauda, R. O. S. (2015). Microfinance, poverty and employment gender gap: An analysis from the Nigerianperspective <u>https://www.aeaweb.org/conference/2015/retrieve.php?pdfid=1116</u>

Duflo, E. (2011). Women's empowerment and economic development (NBER working paper Series) doi:103386/w17702.

Dwivedi, N. T. & Mishra, T. (2013). Impact of microcredit services on the socioeconomic statusof women entrepreneurs. *Voice of Research*, 2 (2), 46-49.

Ezumah, N. N. (1988). Women in agriculture: Neglect of women's role. *Journal of the Institute of African Studies*, 3, 9-15.

Gundersen, A. S. (2009). Empowerment and Poverty Alleviation: Effects on Targeting Women in Developing Countries. This thesis is handed in to complete the degree Master of Social Economics University of Bergen, Department of Economics November 2009.

Hamnett, S., & Hassan M. I. (2003). The Relevance of Sustainable Urban Development to Asian Cities: An Indonesian Case Study. Paper presented at the 7th international congress of the Asian planning schools association in Hanoi.

Hayes, A. F. (2013). Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis:A Regression-Based Approach. New York: Guilford Press.

Ilavbarhe, K. O. and Izekor, O. B. (2015). The role of microcredit in women empowerment and poverty alleviation in Edo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural and Crop Research* 3(6), 80-84.

Johnson, S. and Rogaly, B. (1997). Microfinance and poverty reduction. Oxford: Oxfam.

Josily S. (2006). Women empowerment through microfinance in Dindigul District of Tamil Nadu: An economic analysis. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University Agricultural Science, Dharwad (India).

Kabeer, N. and Noponen, H. (2005). Social and economic impacts of PRADAN's Self Help Group microfinance and livelihoods promotion program analysis from Jharkhand, India. Improving the Impact of Microfinance on Poverty Imp-Act, the Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex, Working Paper No. 11

Kabeer N. (1998) 'Money Can't Buy Me Love'? Re-evaluating Gender, Credit, and Empowerment in Rural Bangladesh. IDS Discussion Paper, No 363.

Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. Psychometrika, 39(1), 31-36.

Kawira, N. P. (2016). The effect of micro credit in improving the living standards of the poor people in Tharaka Nithi County. Master of Science in Finance, School of Business, University of Nairobi

Kelleher, F. (2014). Women's voices in northern Nigeria: Hearing the broader narratives.50.50 series. Retrieved from https://www.opendemocracy.net/5050/fatimah-Kelleher/women%27s-voices-in-northern-nigeria-hearing-broader-narratives

Kerstetter, D. (2019). Microfinance and its Impact on Women: A Case Study of India, Bangladesh, and Malaysia. Business: Student Scholarship & Creative Works 15.

Khan, M. A. and Rahaman, M. A. (2007). Impact of microfinance on living standards, empowerment, and poverty alleviation of poor people: A Case study on microfinance in the Chittagong district of Bangladesh. (Unpublished Master's Thesis)Umeå School of Business, Department of Business Administration.

Kireti, G. W. and Sakwa, M. (2014). Socio-economic effects of microfinance services on women: The case of Rosewo microfinance, Nakuru county, Kenya. *International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences 3 (3), 43-59.*

Ledgerwood, J. (1999) Microfinance Handbook: An institutional and financial perspective. Washington DC, World Bank

Lemut, T. I. (2017). An assessment of the provision of micro-credit for women empowerment in Plateau state, Nigeria. *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space 13(2), 84-96.*

Matovu, D. (2006). Microfinance and poverty alleviation Uganda-a case study of Uganda finance trust (Unpublished master's thesis) Africa and International Development Cooperation: Goteborgsuniversitet.

Mayoux, L. (2000). Micro-finance and the empowerment of women - A review of the key issues. Working Paper.

Mayoux, L. (2005). Women's empowerment through sustainable micro-finance: Rethinking 'best practice'. Gender and micro-finance website: <u>http://www.genfinance.net</u> Mayoux L. (1999). From Access to Empowerment: Gender Issues in Micro-Finance. In CDS Virtual Conference.

Mgbakor, M. N., Uzendu, P. O., and Onwubiko, E. C. (2014). Economic empowerment of womenin agriculture through microfinance bank in Awgu local government area of Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)* 7(8) (1), 21-31.

Murdoch, J. (1999). "The Role of Subsidies in Microfinance: Evidence from the Grameen Bank," Journal of Development Economics, 60(1). 229-248. DOI 10.1016/S0304 3878(99)00042-45

Ngofa, G. (2014). The Impact of Microfinance on the Socioeconomic Empowerment of Women in Nigeria. Unpublished PhD thesis, Walden University.

Pitt, M. M., Khandker, S.R., and Cartwright, J. (2006). Empowering women with microfinance: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Economic development and cultural change*, 54(4), 791-831

Rehman, H., Moazzam, A. and Ansari, N. (2015). Role of microfinance institutions in women empowerment: A case study of Akhuwat, Pakistan. *A Research Journal of South Asian Studies* 30(1), 107-12.

Roxin, H., Berkmüller, H., Koller, P. J., Lawonn, J., Pooya, N. &Schappert, J. (2011).Economic empowerment of women through Microcredit: The case of themicrofinance investment z andtechnical assistance facility. Berlin: SLE publication series.

Saidu, M. B. (2014). Participation in microfinance scheme as a medium for empowerment among farmerscommunity in Kano state, Nigeria. Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate
 Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Schoch, M. and Lakner, C. (2020). The number of poor people continues to rise in Sub-Saharan Africa, despite a slow decline in the poverty rate. http://blogs.worldbank.org

Shetty, N. K. (2008). The microfinance promises in financial inclusion and welfare of the poor: Evidence from Karnataka, India. Working Paper 205 Institute for Social and Economic Change.

SIDA (2009). Women's economic empowerment: Scope for Sida's engagements. Workingpapers series. Dec, 2009

Siwar, C., Ahmed, F., Idris, N. A. H. & Begum, R. A. (2011). Microcredit's contributionto thesocio-economic development amongst rural women: A case study of Panchagarh District in Bangladesh. African Journal of Business Management, 5(22).

Tabachnick, B. G., and Fidell, L. S. (2007). Using Multivariate Statistics (5th ed.). New York: Allyn and Bacon

UN (2015). The Millennium Development Goals Report. United Nations. New York.

UNDP (2019) Beyond income, beyond averages, beyond today: Inequalities in human development in the 21st century, (UNDP) United Nations Development Programme 1 UN Plaza, New York, NY 10017 USA

Vyas, S., Watts, C. (2009). How does economic empowerment affect women's risk of intimate partner violence in low- and middle-income countries? A systematic review of published evidence. Journal of International Development, 21, 577-602.